Cryptanalysis of multi-HFE

Yasufumi Hashimoto *

Abstract

Multi-HFE (Chen et al., 2009) is one of cryptosystems whose public key is a set of multivariate quadratic forms over a finite field. Its quadratic forms are constructed by a set of multivariate quadratic forms over an extension field. Recently, Bettale et al. (2013) have studied the security of HFE and multi-HFE against the min-rank attack and found that multi-HFE is not more secure than HFE of similar size. In the present paper, we propose a new attack on multi-HFE by using a diagonalization approach. As a result, our attack can recover equivalent secret keys of multi-HFE in polynomial time for odd characteristic case. In fact, we experimentally succeeded to recover equivalent secret keys of several examples of multi-HFE in about fifteen seconds on average, which was recovered in about nine days by the min-rank attack.

Keywords. multivariate public-key cryptosystems, multi-HFE, post-quantum cryptography

1 Introduction

A multivariate public key cryptosystem (MPKC) is a cryptosystem whose public key is a set of multivariate quadratic forms over a finite field. It is known that the problem of finding a solution of a system of multivariate quadratic forms over a finite field is NP hard [19] and then MPKC has been expected as a candidate of Post-Quantum Cryptography.

One of major ideas to design MPKCs is to generate quadratic forms by a polynomial map over an extension field. Matsumoto-Imai's scheme [26] and Hidden Field Equations (HFE) [28] are representative schemes constructed in this way; in fact, their quadratic forms are derived from a high degree univariate monomial/polynomial over an extension field. Multi-HFE [7] is also one of such MPKCs, whose quadratic forms are constructed by a set of multivariate quadratic forms over an extension field. While its security against the Gröbner basis attack is considered to be enough [7], Bettale et al. [4] found that multi-HFE is not more secure than HFE of similar size against the min-rank attack. However, the complexity of the min-rank attack on multi-HFE [4] highly depends on the number of variables of quadratic forms over the extension field and then the min-rank attack is not feasible when its number is not small.

In the present paper, we propose a new attack on multi-HFE. Since the coefficient matrices of the quadratic forms in the public key of multi-HFE are described by linear transforms of diagonal type matrices, a key recovery attack using an approach similar to diagonalization of matrices is available for odd characteristic case. Our attack is much faster than the min-rank attack [4]. In fact, we succeeded to recover equivalent secret keys of an example of multi-HFE in about fifteen seconds on average, which was recovered in about nine days by the min-rank attack. Furthermore, different to the min-rank attack, the complexity of our attack does not

^{*}Department of Mathematical Science, University of the Ryukyus/JST CREST

	univariate	multivariate		
quadratic	Square $[8, 5]$	MFE [31, 11], multi-HFE [7, 4]		
high degree	MI [26, 27], HFE [28], ZHFE [30]	<i>l</i> IC [13, 18]		
variants	Sflash [1, 14], Quartz [29, 9], etc.			

Table 1: Examples of MPKCs constructed by a polynomial map over an extension field

depend on the number of variables of the quadratic forms over the extension field. This means that our attack can reduce the security of (not only multi-HFE but) most MPKCs constructed by a "quadratic" map over an extension field.

2 Multi-HFE

2.1 Construction

A multivariate public key cryptosystem (MPKC) is a cryptosystem whose public key is a set of multivariate quadratic forms

$$f_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} a_{ij}^{(1)} x_i x_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} b_i^{(1)} x_i + c^{(1)},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_m(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} a_{ij}^{(m)} x_i x_j + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} b_i^{(m)} x_i + c^{(m)},$$

over a finite field. We now describe the construction of multi-HFE.

Let $n, N, r \ge 1$ be integers with Nr = n and q a power of prime. Denote by k a finite field of order q and K an extension field of k with [K : k] = r. Then multi-HFE is as follows.

Multi-HFE

Secret Keys: Two affine maps $S, T: k^n \to k^n$ and a quadratic map $\mathcal{G}: K^N \to K^N$:

$$\mathcal{G}(X_{1},...,X_{N}) = (\mathcal{G}_{1}(X_{1},...,X_{N}),...,\mathcal{G}_{N}(X_{1},...,X_{N}))^{t},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{1}(X_{1},...,X_{N}) = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le N} \alpha_{ij}^{(1)} X_{i} X_{j} + \sum_{1 \le i \le N} \beta_{i}^{(1)} X_{i} + \gamma^{(1)},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{N}(X_{1},...,X_{N}) = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le N} \alpha_{ij}^{(N)} X_{i} X_{j} + \sum_{1 \le i \le N} \beta_{i}^{(N)} X_{i} + \gamma^{(N)},$$

where $\alpha_{ij}^{(l)}, \beta_i^{(l)}, \gamma^{(l)} \in K$.

Public Key: The quadratic map $F := T \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \circ \phi \circ S : k^n \to k^n$, where $\phi : k^n \to K^N$ is a one-to-one map.

$$F: k^n \xrightarrow{S} k^n \xrightarrow{\phi} K^N \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} K^N \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} k^n \xrightarrow{T} k^n.$$

Encryption: For a plain-text $x \in k^n$, the cipher $y \in k^m$ is y = F(x). **Decryption:** First, compute $y' := T^{-1}(y)$ and put $Y' := \phi(y')$. Next, find $Z \in K^N$ with G(Z) = Y'. Finally, let $z := \phi^{-1}(Z)$ and compute $x = S^{-1}(z)$.

$$N \text{ quadratic equations of } N \text{ variables over } K$$

$$\underbrace{\text{Multi-HFE}}_{n \text{ quadratic equations of } n \text{ variables over } k}$$

2.2 Efficiency

When N is small enough, \mathcal{G} is inverted efficiently by the Gröbner basis algorithm. See Table 1 of [7] for several examples of efficiency of multi-HFE with N = 2, 3, 4. However, when N is not small enough and \mathcal{G} is chosen randomly, the decryption by the Gröbner basis algorithm is not efficient. Then for such N, a special structure of \mathcal{G} like MFE [31, 11] is required for fast decryptions.

2.3 Security against known attacks

Direct attacks. The direct attack is to find a common solution $x \in k^n$ of $f_1(x) = y_1, \ldots, f_n(x) = y_n$ for a given cipher text $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)^t \in k^n$ directly. One of major approaches of the direct attack is by using the Gröbner basis algorithm [15, 16, 2, 3]. In [3], the complexity is estimated by $O(2^{m(3.31-3.62/\log_2 q)})$ if $\log_2 q \ll n$ and $\{f_1(x) - y_1, \ldots, f_n(x) - y_n\}$ is "semi-regular". On HFE, it is known that the "degree of regularity" of the system $\{f_1(x) - y_1, \ldots, f_n(x) - y_n\}$ is bounded by $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)\lceil \log D \rceil + 2 \ [21, 10]$, where D is the degree of the central univariate polynomial of HFE over an extension field. This means that HFE with smaller q is less secure. For multi-HFE, while there have been less results compared with HFE, the authors of [7] claimed that the complexity against Gröbner basis attack is almost same to the random systems.

Min-Rank attacks. The min-rank attacks have been proposed by Kipnis-Shamir [23] for HFE and improved by Bettale-Faugère-Perret [4] for HFE and (generalized) multi-HFE. On HFE and multi-HFE, it is known that the coefficient matrices of the quadratic forms F_1, \ldots, F_n are linear sums of matrices of small rank over K (its rank is at most N on multi-HFE given in §2.1,). The min-rank attack is to recover (partial information of) T by finding $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in K$ such that $\alpha_1 F_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n F_n$ is of small rank. In Proposition 13 and its proof of [4], the complexity of the min-rank attack is estimated by $O\left(\binom{n+N+1}{N+1}^{\omega}\right)$ under several conditions, where $2 \leq \omega < 3$ is the exponent of the Gaussian elimination.

3 Proposed attacks on multi-HFE

In this section, we propose our attack on multi-HFE. First we prepare notations and several lemmas to explain our attack.

3.1 Notations and lemmas

For integers $n_1, n_2 \ge 1$, let $M_{n_1,n_2}(k)$ be the set of $n_1 \times n_2$ matrices of k entries. Denote by $I_n \in M_{n,n}(k)$ the identity matrix and by $0_{n_1,n_2} \in M_{n_1,n_2}(k)$ the zero matrix. For simplicity, we write $M_n(k) := M_{n,n}(k)$ and $0_n := 0_{n,n}$. For a matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j}$, a polynomial $g(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \cdots + c_d t^d$ and an integer $l \ge 1$, put

$$A^{(l)} := \left(a_{ij}^l\right)_{i,j}, \qquad g^{(l)}(t) := c_0^l + c_1^l t + \dots + c_d^l t^d.$$

For square matrices $A_1 \in M_{n_1}(k), \ldots, A_l \in M_{n_l}(k), A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_l$ means

$$A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_l := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_l \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n_1 + \cdots + n_l}(k).$$

We now recall that $n, N, r \ge 1$ are integers with n = Nr, q is a power of prime, k is a finite field of order q and K is an extension field of k with [K : k] = r. Choose a basis $\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r\}$ of K over k and a one-to-one map $\phi : k^n \to K^N$. For simplicity, suppose that ϕ is chosen such that $\phi(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1N}, a_{21}, \ldots, \ldots, a_{rN}) = (a_{11}\theta_1 + \cdots + a_{r1}\theta_r, \ldots, a_{1N}\theta_1 + \cdots + a_{rN}\theta_r)^t$. Let L_N be a subset of K^n with

$$L_N := \left\{ \left(a_1, \dots, a_N, a_1^q, \dots, \dots, a_N^{q^{r-1}} \right)^t \mid a_1, \dots, a_N \in K \right\},\$$

 $\psi : L_N \to K^N$ a one-to-one map with $\psi \left(a_1, \dots, a_N, a_1^q, \dots, \dots, a_N^{q^{r-1}} \right) = (a_1, \dots, a_N)^t$ and $\Theta \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ a matrix with

$$\Theta := \left(\theta_j^{q^{i-1}} I_N\right)_{1 \le i, j \le r} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 I_N & \theta_2 I_N & \cdots & \theta_r I_N \\ \theta_1^q I_N & \theta_2^q I_N & \cdots & \theta_r^q I_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_1^{q^{r-1}} I_N & \theta_2^{q^{r-1}} I_N & \cdots & \theta_r^{q^{r-1}} I_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.1. The matrix Θ gives a one-to-one map from k^n to L_N and it holds $\phi = \psi \circ \Theta$.

Proof. For $a = (a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1N}, a_{21}, \ldots, \ldots, a_{rN})^t \in k^n$, we have $\Theta a = (a_1, \ldots, a_N, a_1^q, \ldots, \ldots, a_N^{q^{r-1}})^t$,

where $a_i := a_{1i}\theta_1 + \cdots + a_{ri}\theta_r \in K$. Then Θ gives a map from k^n to L_N and we can easily check that it is one-to-one. Furthermore, due to (1), we have $\psi(\Theta a) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)^t = \phi(a)$.

For an integer $m \geq 1$, define the sets $\mathcal{A}_m \subset M_{n,m}(K), \mathcal{B}_m \subset M_{m,n}(K), \mathcal{C} \subset M_n(K)$ of matrices as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{m} &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A \\ A^{(q)} \\ \vdots \\ A^{(q^{r-1})} \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathcal{M}_{N,m}(K) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{m} &:= \left\{ \left(B, B^{(q)}, \cdots, B^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \middle| B \in \mathcal{M}_{m,N}(K) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{C} &:= \left\{ \left(C^{(q^{i-1})}_{(j-i \bmod r)+1} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq r} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{1} & C_{2} & \cdots & C_{r} \\ C^{(q)}_{r} & C^{(q)}_{1} & \cdots & C^{(q)}_{r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C^{(q^{r-1})}_{2} & C^{(q^{r-1})}_{3} & \cdots & C^{(q^{r-1})}_{1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| C_{1}, \dots, C_{r} \in \mathcal{M}_{N}(K) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. For any $m \ge 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_m = \Theta \cdot \mathcal{M}_{n,m}(k), \qquad \mathcal{B}_m = \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(k) \cdot \Theta^{-1}, \qquad \mathcal{C} = \Theta \cdot \mathcal{M}_n(k) \cdot \Theta^{-1}.$$
 (2)

(1)

Proof. First, choose $A_1, \ldots, A_r \in M_{N,m}(k)$ arbitrary. We have

$$\Theta\begin{pmatrix}A_1\\A_2\\\vdots\\A_r\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}A_1\theta_1 + \dots + A_r\theta_r\\A_1\theta_1^q + \dots + A_r\theta_r^q\\\vdots\\A_1\theta_1^{q^{r-1}} + \dots + A_r\theta_r^{q^{r-1}}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}A_1\theta_1 + \dots + A_r\theta_r\\(A_1\theta_1 + \dots + A_r\theta_r)^{(q)}\\\vdots\\(A_1\theta_1 + \dots + A_r\theta_r)^{(q^{r-1})}\end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that $\Theta \cdot M_{n,m}(k) \subset \mathcal{A}_m$. Since $\#(\Theta \cdot M_{n,m}(k)) = \#\mathcal{A}_m = q^{mn}$, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_m = \Theta \cdot M_{n,m}(k)$.

Next, choose $B \in M_{m,N}(K)$ arbitrary. We have

$$(B, B^{(q)}, \cdots, B^{(q^{r-1})}) \Theta = (B\theta_1 + B^{(q)}\theta_1^q + \cdots + B^{(q^{r-1})}\theta_1^{q^{r-1}}, \dots \\ \dots, B\theta_r + B^{(q)}\theta_r^q + \cdots + B^{(q^{r-1})}\theta_r^{q^{r-1}}).$$

Since $B^{(q^r)} = B$ and $\theta_l^{q^r} = \theta_l$, we see that

$$\left(B\theta_l + B^{(q)}\theta_l^q + \dots + B^{(q^{r-1})}\theta_l^{q^{r-1}} \right)^{(q)} = B^{(q)}\theta_l^q + \dots + B^{(q^{r-1})}\theta_l^{q^{r-1}} + B\theta_l$$
$$= B\theta_l + B^{(q)}\theta_l^q + \dots + B^{(q^{r-1})}\theta_l^{q^{r-1}}$$

for $1 \leq l \leq r$. It is well-known that $a \in K$ satisfies $a^q = a$ if and only if $a \in k$. This means that $\mathcal{B}_m \cdot \Theta \subset \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(k)$. It is clear that $\#\mathcal{B}_m = \#(\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(k) \cdot \Theta^{-1}) = q^{mn}$. We then obtain $\mathcal{B}_m = \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(k) \cdot \Theta^{-1}$.

Finally, choose $C_1, \ldots, C_r \in \mathcal{M}_N(K)$ arbitrary and put $C := \left(C_{(j-i \mod r)+1}^{(q^{i-1})}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le r} \in \mathcal{C}$. The (i, j)-block C'_{ij} in $C \cdot \Theta$ is

$$C'_{ij} = C^{(q^{i-1})}_{(1-i \mod r)+1} \theta_j + C^{(q^{i-1})}_{(2-i \mod r)+1} \theta_j^q + \dots + C^{(q^{i-1})}_{r-i+1} \theta_j^{q^{r-1}}$$
$$= \left(C_1 \theta_j + \dots + C_r \theta_j^{q^{r-1}}\right)^{(q^{i-1})} = (C'_{1j})^{(q^{i-1})}.$$

This means that $\mathcal{C} \cdot \Theta \subset \mathcal{A}_n = \Theta \cdot M_n(k)$. Since $\#\mathcal{C} = \# \left(\Theta \cdot M_n(k) \cdot \Theta^{-1} \right) = q^{n^2}$, we obtain $\mathcal{C} = \Theta \cdot M_n(k) \cdot \Theta^{-1}$.

For a monic polynomial $h(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \dots + c_{d-1} t^{d-1} + t^d$ of degree d, let

$$C(h) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -c_0 \\ 1 & & 0 & -c_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & -c_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix C(h) is called the companion matrix of h(t). Then the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.3. (see [22]) For a matrix $H \in M_n(k)$, let $h(t) := \det(t \cdot I_n - H)$ be the characteristic polynomial of H and $h(t) = h_1(t) \cdots h_l(t)$ is the factorization of h(t) over k. Suppose that h(t)is square free and put $d_i := \deg(h_i(t))$ for $1 \le i \le l$. Then the following (i) and (ii) hold. (i) There exists an invertible matrix $P \in M_n(k)$ such that

$$P^{-1}HP = C(h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus C(h_l)$$

(ii) If $P_1, P_2 \in M_n(k)$ satisfy $P_1^{-1}HP_1 = P_2^{-1}HP_2 = C(h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus C(h_l)$, then there exist matrices $M_1 \in M_{d_1}(k), \ldots, M_l \in M_{d_l}(k)$ such that

$$P_1^{-1}P_2 = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_l.$$

3.2 Quadratic forms in multi-HFE

In this subsection, we study the structure of the quadratic forms in multi-HFE.

Recall that the public key of multi-HFE is a quadratic map $F: k^n \to k^n$ is given by

$$F = T \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \circ \phi \circ S$$

where $S, T : k^n \to k^n$ are invertible affine maps, $\mathcal{G} : K^N \to K^N$ is a quadratic map and $\phi: k^n \to K^N$ is a one-to-one map. Due to Lemma 3.1, we have

$$F = (T \circ \Theta^{-1}) \circ (\psi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \circ \psi) \circ (\Theta \circ S).$$

Then, by the definition of ψ and \mathcal{G} , we see that

$$F(x) = (T \circ \Theta^{-1}) \cdot \left(\mathcal{G}_1 \left((\Theta \circ S) x \right), \dots, \mathcal{G}_N \left((\Theta \circ S) x \right), \mathcal{G}_1 \left((\Theta \circ S) x \right)^q, \dots, \dots, \mathcal{G}_N \left((\Theta \circ S) x \right)^{q^{r-1}} \right)^t.$$
(3)

For $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_N)^t \in K^N$, let $\overline{X} := \psi^{-1}(X) = (X_1, \ldots, X_N, X_1^q, \ldots, X_N^{q^{r-1}})^t \in L_N$. Since $\mathcal{G}_1(X), \ldots, \mathcal{G}_N(X)$ are quadratic forms, there exists matrices $G_1, \ldots, G_N \in \mathcal{M}_N(K)$, low vectors $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N \in \mathcal{M}_{1,N}(K)$ and constants $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N \in K$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}_l(X) = X^t G_l X + \beta_l X + \gamma_l, \quad (1 \le l \le N).$$

Then the polynomials $\mathcal{G}_l(X), \mathcal{G}_l(X)^q, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_l(X)^{q^{r-1}}$ are expressed as quadratic forms of \overline{X} as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{l}(X) &= X^{t}(G_{l} \oplus 0_{n-N})X + (\beta_{l}, 0_{1,n-N})X + \gamma_{l}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{l}(X)^{q} &= \bar{X}^{t} \left(0_{1,N} \oplus G_{l}^{(q)} \oplus 0_{1,n-2N} \right) \bar{X} + \left(0_{1,N}, \beta_{l}^{(q)}, 0_{1,n-2N} \right) \bar{X} + \gamma_{l}^{q}, \\
&\vdots \\
\mathcal{G}_{l}(X)^{q^{r-1}} &= \bar{X}^{t} \left(0_{n-N} \oplus G_{l}^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \bar{X} + \left(0_{1,n-N}, \beta_{l}^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \bar{X} + \gamma_{l}^{q^{r-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$
(4)

Since the affine maps S, T are given by $Sx = S_0x + s, Ty = T_0y + t$ with matrices $S_0, T_0 \in M_n(k)$ and column vectors $s, t \in M_{n,1}(k)$, the quadratic forms $f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x)$ in the public key F are described as follows.

$$f_{l}(x) = x^{t} S_{0}^{t} \Theta^{t} \left(E_{l} \oplus E_{l}^{(q)} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{l}^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \Theta S_{0} x$$

+ $x^{t} S_{0}^{t} \Theta^{t} \left(E_{l} \oplus E_{l}^{(q)} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{l}^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \Theta s + s^{t} \Theta^{t} \left(E_{l} \oplus E_{l}^{(q)} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{l}^{(q^{r-1})} \right) S_{0} x$ (5)
+ $\left(b_{l}, b_{l}^{(q)}, \dots, b_{l}^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \Theta S_{0} x + (\text{constant}),$

where $E_1, \ldots, E_n \in M_N(K)$ are matrices and $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in M_{1,N}(K)$ are low vectors given by

$$(E_1, \dots, E_n)^t = (T_0 \Theta^{-1}) (G_1, \dots, G_N, 0_N, \dots, 0_N)^t, (b_1, \dots, b_n)^t = (T_0 \Theta^{-1}) (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N, 0_N, \dots, 0_N)^t.$$
(6)

3.3 Proposed attack on multi-HFE

We now propose our attack on multi-HFE for odd characteristic case as follows.

Proposed Attack on multi-HFE

Input: Public key $F(x) = (f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x))^t$ of multi-HFE. **Output:** Two invertible matrices $S', T' \in M_n(k)$ such that

$$\phi \circ T' \circ F \circ S' \circ \phi^{-1} : K^N \to K^N$$

is a quadratic map.

Step 1. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n \in M_n(k)$ be the symmetric matrices with

$$f_l(x) = x^t F_l x + (\text{linear}).$$

Take two linear sums W_1, W_2 of F_1, \ldots, F_n such that W_1 is invertible and put

$$W := W_1^{-1} W_2$$

Step 2. Compute the characteristic polynomial $w(t) := \det (t \cdot I_n - W)$ of W and factor w(t) over K. Choose a polynomial $w_0(t)$ of degree N such that

$$w(t) = w_0(t)w_0^{(q)}(t)\cdots w_0^{(q^{r-1})}(t).$$

Step 3. If w(t) is square free and $w_0(t)$ is irreducible, go to the next step. If not, go back to Step 1.

Step 4. Find a matrix $P_0 \in M_{n,N}(K)$ satisfying $w_0(W)P_0 = 0$ and put

$$P := \left(P_0, P_0^{(q)}, \cdots, P_0^{(q^{r-1})}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_n(k) \cdot \Theta^{-1}.$$

Step 5. If P is invertible, go to the next step. If not, go back to Step 4.

Step 6. Let $\hat{F}_l := P^t F_l P$. Find a matrix $Q_0 \in M_{N,n}(K)$ with

$$Q_0\begin{pmatrix}\hat{F}_1\\\vdots\\\hat{F}_n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\hat{E}_1 \oplus 0_{n-N}\\\vdots\\\hat{E}_N \oplus 0_{n-N}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 7. If

$$Q := \begin{pmatrix} Q_0 \\ Q_0^{(q)} \\ \vdots \\ Q_0^{(q^{r-1})} \end{pmatrix} \in \Theta \cdot \mathcal{M}_n(k)$$

is invertible, go to the next step. If not, go back to Step 7. Step 8. Output $S' = P\Theta$ and $T' = \Theta^{-1}Q$.

Once S', T' are recovered, the problem of inverting F is reduced to the problem of finding a common solution of N quadratic equations of N variables. This means that, if \mathcal{G} is chosen randomly, the decryption without secret keys is as fast as the decryption with secret keys. Even if \mathcal{G} has a special structure for fast decryptions, the security is much less than expected since solving N equations of N variables is much faster than solving n equations of n variables in general.



We now explain why our attack is available.

Table 2: Probability (%) that det $(t \cdot I_N - W_0)$ is irreducible for q = 31N2345678910...

N	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	•••
Prob.	49.2	33.4	25.2	19.5	17.4	13.7	12.7	11.2	9.9	•••

The equation (5) gives

$$F_l = (\Theta S_0)^t \left(E_l \oplus \dots \oplus E_l^{(q^{r-1})} \right) (\Theta S_0),$$

the matrix W is written by

$$W = (\Theta S_0)^{-1} \left(W_0 \oplus \dots \oplus W_0^{(q^{r-1})} \right) (\Theta S_0)$$
(7)

for some $W_0 \in M_N(K)$ and the polynomial w(t) is

$$w(t) = \det \left(t \cdot I_N - W_0\right) \cdots \det \left(t \cdot I_N - W_0^{(q^{r-1})}\right).$$

If det $(t \cdot I_N - W_0)$ is irreducible, we have

$$w_0(t) = \det\left(t \cdot I_N - W_0^{(q^l)}\right) \tag{8}$$

for some $0 \leq l \leq r-1$. Then it is easy to see that there exists $L \in M_N(K)$ with $L^{-1}W_0^{(q^l)}L = C(w_0)$ and it holds

$$\left(\sigma^l \left(L \oplus \dots \oplus L^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \right)^{-1} \left(W_0 \oplus \dots \oplus W_0^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \left(\sigma^l \left(L \oplus \dots \oplus L^{(q^{r-1})} \right) \right)$$

$$= C(w_0) \oplus \dots \oplus C(w_0)^{(q^{r-1})},$$

$$(9)$$

where $\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} I_N & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & & I_N \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_n(k)$ is a permutation matrix. On the other hand, due to (i)

of Lemma 3.3, we see that there exists an invertible matrix $P \in M_n(K)$ such that

$$P^{-1}WP = C(w_0) \oplus \dots \oplus C(w_0)^{(q^{r-1})}$$
(10)

and it is easy to check that P in Step 4 satisfies (10). Applying (7), (9), (10) into (ii) of Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\Theta S_0 P = \sigma^l \left(\tilde{S} \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{S}^{(q^{r-1})} \right), \tag{11}$$

for some invertible matrix $\tilde{S} \in M_N(K)$. Then the matrix \hat{F}_l in Step 6 is given by

$$\hat{F}_l = P^t F_l P = (\Theta S_0 P)^t \left(E_l \oplus \dots \oplus E_l^{(q^{r-1})} \right) (\Theta S_0 P) = \hat{E}_l \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{E}_l^{(q^{r-1})}$$
(12)

for some $\hat{E}_l \in \mathcal{M}_N(K)$. Due to (6), we see that there exists Q_0 in Step 7 and it is found by the Gaussian elimination. It is easy to see that Q in Step 8 satisfies

$$QT_0\Theta^{-1} = \sigma^{l_1} \left(\tilde{T} \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{T}^{(q^{r-1})} \right)$$
(13)

for some $0 \leq l_1 \leq r-1$ and $\tilde{T} \in M_N(K)$. Combining (5), (11) and (13), we can conclude that the map

$$\begin{split} \phi \circ T' \circ F \circ S' \circ \phi^{-1} = & \psi \circ (\Theta \circ T' \circ T \circ \Theta^{-1}) \circ (\psi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \circ \psi) \circ (\Theta \circ S \circ S' \circ \Theta^{-1}) \circ \psi^{-1} \\ = & \psi \circ (Q \circ T \circ \Theta^{-1}) \circ (\psi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \circ \psi) \circ (\Theta \circ S \circ P) \circ \psi^{-1} \end{split}$$

is a quadratic map from K^N to K^N .

n	N	r	min-rank attack	our attack
30	3	10	37.2bit (1h38m)	1.23s
45	3	15	42.5 bit (2 d1 h)	4.96s
54	3	18	44.8bit (9d16h)	15.0s
60	3	20	46.3bit	22.3s
75	3	25	49.2bit	75.5s
40	4	10	48.5bit	3.37s
60	4	15	55.1bit	15.6s
72	4	18	58.2bit	45.5s
50	5	10	59.9bit	7.65s
60	5	12	63.4bit	12.8s
75	5	15	67.9bit	33.9s
60	6	10	71.3bit	15.0s
72	6	12	75.4bit	40.6s
70	7	10	82.7bit	38.9s
72	8	9	91.0bit	38.0s
72	9	8	98.3bit	41.7s
70	10	7	104.bit	34.7s

Table 3: Experimental results of our attack for q = 31

Complexity. In Step 1, the attacker takes several basic computations of $n \times n$ matrices over k and then the complexity of Step 1 is $\ll n^3$. Step 2 is for computing the characteristic polynomial of $n \times n$ matrix W and factoring a polynomial w(t) of degree n over K (r-extension of k). Then the complexity of Step 2 is $\ll n^3 \cdot r$.

It is well known that the probability that randomly chosen polynomial of degree N is irreducible is about N^{-1} [24]. In this case, while it is difficult to prove that W_0 is distributed randomly since W_1, W_2 are symmetric, Table 2 shows that its probability seems about N^{-1} .

Step 4 is for finding kernel matrix of $w_0(W)$ and then its complexity is $\ll n^3 \cdot r$. In Step 6 and 7, the attacker takes the Gaussian eliminations and basic linear operations $n \times n$ matrices over K.

We thus conclude that the total complexity of our attack is $\ll n^3 r \cdot N \ll n^4$ on average.

Experiments. In Table 3, we compare our attack with the min-rank attack [4] for q = 31. In this table, "min-rank attack" means the complexity $\binom{n+N+1}{N+1}^{\omega}$ of the min-rank attack (see Proposition 13 and its proof of [4]) with $\omega = 2.4$ and the experimental results in Table 5 of [4] by using Magma [25] ver.2.16-10 on 2.93 GHz Intel[®] Xeon[®] CPU, and "our attack" means the average of the running times of 100 times experiments of our attack by using Magma [25] ver.2.15-10 on Windows 7, Core-i7 2.67GHz. Table 3 shows that our attack is much faster than the min-rank attack and it is feasible also for larger N.

3.4 Remarks on even characteristic cases

When q is odd, we can choose symmetric matrices F_1, \ldots, F_n as coefficient matrices of quadratic forms in the public key F. On the other hand, F_l cannot be symmetric when q is even. Then we should use $F_l + F_l^t$ instead of F_l when q is even. It is easy to see that these matrices are symmetric and their diagonal entries matrices are zero. For such matrices, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.4. Let k be a finite field of even characteristic, $N \ge 1$ an integer and $A, B \in M_N(k)$ symmetric matrices. Suppose that the diagonal entries of A, B are zero. Then we have (i) if N is odd then det $A = \det B = 0$.

(ii) if N is even and det $A \neq 0$, then the polynomial det $(t \cdot I_N - A^{-1}B)$ is a square of another polynomial of degree N/2.

Proof. When k is of even characteristic, the determinant of the matrix $X = (x_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le N} \in M_N(k)$ is given by

$$\det X = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} x_{1\sigma(1)} x_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{N\sigma(N)}, \tag{14}$$

where \mathfrak{S}_N is the set of permutations among $1, \ldots, N$. It is easy to see that

$$x_{1\sigma^{-1}(1)}x_{2\sigma^{-1}(2)}\cdots x_{N\sigma^{-1}(N)} = x_{\sigma(1)1}x_{\sigma(2)2}\cdots x_{\sigma(N)N}.$$

Then, when X is symmetric and its diagonal entries are zero, we have

$$\det X = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}} x_{1\sigma(1)} x_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{N\sigma(N)},\tag{15}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)} := \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N \mid \sigma^2 = \mathrm{id}, \sigma(i) \neq i, 1 \leq \forall i \leq N \}$. For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}$, there exist pairs $(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_s, j_s)$ such that $\sigma(i_l) = j_l, \sigma(j_l) = i_l, \{i_1, j_1, \ldots, i_s, j_s\} = \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and $i_1, j_1, \ldots, i_s, j_s$ are distinct to each other When N is odd, there are no such pairs. This means that $\mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}$ is empty and then (i) holds. When N is even, there are such pairs and, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}$,

$$x_{1\sigma(1)}\cdots x_{N\sigma(N)} = \left(x_{i_1j_1}\cdots x_{i_{N/2}j_{N/2}}\right)^2$$

Since k is of even characteristic, we have

$$\det X = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N^{(2)}} x_{i_1 j_1} \cdots x_{i_{N/2} j_{N/2}}\right)^2,\tag{16}$$

where $\{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_{N/2}, j_{N/2})\}$ depends on σ . Since det $(tI_N - A^{-1}B) = (\det A)^{-1} \det (tA - B)$, (ii) follows immediately from (16).

This lemma shows that our attack on multi-HFE given in §3.3 cannot be used for even characteristic cases directly, since W_2 in Step 1 cannot be invertible when N is odd and $w_0(t)$ in Step 3 cannot be irreducible when N is even. We will arrange it in the future.

4 Conclusion

We propose a new attack on multi-HFE to recover equivalent secret keys for odd characteristic cases, which is much faster than the the min-rank attack [4]. While our attack is not presently available for even characteristic cases, we can claim that MPKCs derived from a "quadratic" map over an extension field cannot be recommended for practical use.

Acknowledgment. The author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) no. 26800020.

References

- M.L. Akkar, N. Courtois, L. Goubin, R. Duteuil, A fast and secure implementation of Sflash, PKC'03, LNCS 2567 (2003), pp.267–278.
- [2] M. Bardet, J.C. Faugère, B. Salvy, B.Y. Yang, Asymptotic Expansion of the Degree of Regularity for Semi-Regular Systems of Equations, MEGA'05 (2005).
- [3] L. Bettale, J.C. Faugère, L. Perret, Solving Polynomial Systems over Finite Fields: Improved Analysis of the Hybrid Approach, ISSAC 2012 (2012), pp.67–74.
- [4] L. Bettale, J.C. Faugere, L. Perret, Cryptanalysis of HFE, multi-HFE and variants for odd and even characteristic, Designs, Codes and Cryptography **69** (2013), pp.1-52.
- [5] O. Billet and G. Macario-Rat: Cryptanalysis of the Square cryptosystems, Asiacrypt'09, LNCS 5912 (2009), pp.451-468.
- [6] A.I.T. Chen, M.S. Chen, T.R. Chen, C.M. Chen, J. Ding, E.L.H. Kuo, F.Y.S. Lee, B.Y. Yang, SSE Implementation of Multivariate PKCs on Modern x86 CPUs, CHES'09, LNCS 5747 (2009), pp.33–48.
- [7] C.H.O. Chen, M.S. Chen, J. Ding, F. Werner, B.Y. Yang, Odd-char multivariate Hidden Field Equations, http://eprint.iacr.org/2008/543.
- [8] C. Clough, J. Baena, J. Ding, B.-Y. Yang, and M.-S. Chen: Square, a new multivariate encryption scheme, CT-RSA'09, LNCS 5473 (2009) pp.252-264.
- [9] N.T. Courtois, M. Daum, P. Felke, On the security of HFE, HFEv- and Quartz, PKC'03, LNCS 2567 (2003), pp.337–350.
- [10] J. Ding, T. J. Hodges, Inverting HFE systems is quasi-polynomial for all fields, Crypto'11, LNCS 6841 (2011), pp.724–742.
- [11] J. Ding, L. Hu, X. Nie, J. Li, and J. Wagner: High Order Linearization Equation (HOLE) attack on multivariate public key cryptosystems, PKC'07, LNCS 4450 (2007), pp.233-248.
- [12] J. Ding, D. Schmidt, Rainbow, a new multivariate polynomial signature scheme, ACNS'05, LNCS 3531 (2005), pp.164–175.
- [13] J. Ding, C. Wolf, B.Y. Yang, *l*-invertible cycles for Multivariate Quadratic (MQ) public key cryptography, PKC'07, LNCS 4450 (2007), pp.266–281.
- [14] V. Dubois, P.A. Fouque, A. Shamir, J. Stern, Practical cryptanalysis of SFLASH, Crypto'07, LNCS 4622 (2007), pp.1–12.
- [15] J.C. Faugère, A new efficient algorithm for computing Grobner bases (F_4) , J. Pure and Applied Algebra **139** (1999), pp.61–88.
- [16] J.C. Faugère, A. Joux, Algebraic cryptanalysis of Hidden Field Equations (HFE) using Grobner bases, Crypto'03, LNCS 2729 (2003), pp.44–60.
- [17] J.C. Faugère, F. Levy-dit-Vehel, L. Perret, Cryptanalysis of MinRank, Crypto'08, LNCS 5157 (2008), pp.280–296.
- [18] P.A. Fouque, G. Macario-Rat, L. Perret, J. Stern, Total break of the *l*-IC signature scheme, PKC'08, LNCS 4939 (2008), pp.1–17.
- [19] M.R. Garey, D.S. Johnson, Computers and Intractability, A Guide to the Theory of NPcompleteness, W.H. Freeman, 1979.
- [20] J. Gathen, D. Panario, Factoring Polynomials Over Finite Fields: A Survey, J. Symbolic Computation 31 (2001), pp.3–17.
- [21] L. Granboulan, A. Joux, J. Stern, Inverting HFE is quasipolynomial, Crypto'06, LNCS 4117, pp.345–356.

- [22] Y. Hashimoto, Cryptanalysis of the multivariate sigature scheme proposed in PQCrypto 2013, PQCrypto'14, LNCS 8772, (2014), pp.108–125.
- [23] A. Kipnis, A. Shamir, Cryptanalysis of the HFE public key cryptosystem by relinearization, Crypto'99, LNCS 1666 (1999), pp.19–30.
- [24] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
- [25] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), pp.235–265.
- [26] T. Matsumoto, H. Imai, Public quadratic polynomial-tuples for efficient signatureverification and message-encryption, Eurocrypt'88, LNCS 330 (1988), pp.419–453.
- [27] J. Patarin, Cryptoanalysis of the Matsumoto and Imai Public Key Scheme of Eurocrypt'88, Crypto'95, LNCS 963 (1995), pp.248–261.
- [28] J. Patarin, Hidden fields equations (HFE) and isomorphisms of polynomials (IP): two new families of asymmetric algorithms, Eurocrypt'96, LNCS 1070 (1996), pp.33–48.
- [29] , J. Patarin, N. Courtois, L. Goubin, QUARTZ, 128-bit long digital signatures, CT-RSA'01, LNCS 2020, pp.282-297.
- [30] J. Porras, J. Baena, and J. Ding, ZHFE, a new multivariate public key encryption scheme, PQCrypto'14, LNCS 8772, pp.229-245.
- [31] L.C. Wang, B.Y. Yang, Y.H. Hu, and F. Lai, A "medium-field" multivariate public-key encryption scheme, CT-RSA'06, LNCS 3860 (2006), pp.132-149.